I. VENDOR AND FARMER MANAGEMENT

(Please indicate) State Agency:	Utah	for FY	2018

Vendor and farmer/farmers' market management includes all those activities associated with selecting, authorizing, training, monitoring, and investigating the State agency's vendor and farmer/farmers' market population for the purpose of reducing fraud and abuse in the WIC Program food delivery system.

- A. Vendor Selection and Authorization 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(i), (ii), and (iii): identify the types of food delivery systems used in the State's jurisdiction, describe, if used, the State agency's limiting criteria, describe the State agency's selection criteria and attach a sample vendor agreement. Describe, if applicable, the supervision and instruction the State agency provides to local agencies to which vendor agreement signing has been delegated.
- **B.** Vendor Training 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(xi): describe State and local agency procedures for training WIC Program vendors and farmers/farmers' markets and for documenting all relevant training.
- C. High-Risk Vendor Identification Systems 7 CFR 246.12(j)(3): describe the policies and procedures for identifying and monitoring high-risk vendors through the use of vendor peer groups, food instrument and cash-value voucher (CVV)/cash value benefit (CVB) redemption screening, analysis of overcharging and other violations, the use of price lists, tracking complaints, or other means. This section may be submitted separately because it is no longer a State Plan requirement but must still be approved by FNS.
- **D.** Routine Monitoring 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iv): describe the criteria used to select vendors for routine monitoring as well as the methods and scope of on-site routine monitoring activities. Include any relevant information about the State agency's plans for improvement in the coming year.
- **E.** Compliance Investigations 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iv): describe the investigative practices and procedures used to conduct both compliance buys and inventory audits for the purpose of detecting, tracking, and documenting vendor noncompliance with program requirements.
- F. Administrative Review of State Agency Actions 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii): describe the procedures for conducting both full and abbreviated administrative reviews.
- G. Coordination with the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(ii),(a)(14)(iv), and 246.12(h)(3)(xxv): describe the methods and procedures used to coordinate the monitoring and sharing of information on vendors who participate in both the WIC Program and SNAP.
- H. Staff Training on Vendor Management 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii), (a)(14)(iv), and (a)(14)(xi): describe the distribution of responsibilities and activities of those individuals at both the State and local levels who are involved in vendor management activities. If applicable, describe the supervision and instruction the State agency provides to local agencies to which vendor management activities have been delegated.
- *I. Farmer/Farmers' Market Authorization 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii), (a)(14)(xi), and 246.12(v):* if the State agency authorizes farmers/farmers' markets to accept CVVs/CVBs, describe the authorization process.
- J. Farmer/Farmers' Market Agreements 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii), (a)(14)(xi), and 246.12(v): if the State agency authorizes farmers/farmers' markets to accept CVVs/CVBs, describe the State agency's agreement with the farmers/farmers' markets and attach a sample farmer/farmers' market agreement.

I. VENDOR AND FARMER MANAGEMENT

- K. Farmer/Farmers' Market Training 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii), (a)(14)(xi), and 246.12(v): if the State agency authorizes farmers/farmers' markets to accept CVVs/CVBs, describe the training provided to the authorized farmers/farmers markets.
- L. Farmer/Farmers' Market Monitoring 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii), (a)(14)(xi), and 246.12(v): if the State agency authorizes farmers/farmers markets to accept CVVs/CVBs, describe the criteria used for selecting farmers/farmers markets for routine monitoring as well as the method(s) and scope of on-site monitoring of the farmers/farmers markets.
- M. Farmer /Farmers' Market Sanctions, Claims, and Appeals 7 CFR 246.4(a)(14)(iii), (a)(14)(xi), and 246.12(v): if the State agency authorizes farmers/farmers markets to accept CVVs/CVBs, describe the farmer/farmers' market sanctions, claims, and appeals and attach a copy of the farmer/farmers' market sanction schedule (which should be included in the farmer/farmers' market agreement as well).
- N. Participant Access 7 CFR 246.4(a)(15); 246.12(b), (g)(1), (g)(9): provide information about the State agency's definition of participant access and its consideration in the application process.

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

1.	Number and Distribution of Authorized Vendors
a.	Does the State agency use limiting criteria to limit the number of vendors it authorizes?
	∑ Yes
b.	If yes, check and specify the type of criteria used (e.g. vendor/participant ratio of 1/100 per county):
	∀endor/participant ratio (specify):
	☐ Vendors/local agency or clinic ratio (specify):
	☐ Vendors/local service area or county ratio (specify):
	∀ Vendors/geographic area (e.g., number per mile, city block, zip code) (specify):
	☐ Vendor/State agency staff ratio (specify):
	Statewide cap on the number of vendors (specify):
	Other (specify):
AD	DDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):
2.	Vendor Application Periods
a.	The State agency considers applications:
	Annually in(month)_ for a new agreement that begins(month)(day)
	Every two years (specify month): (month)
	Every three years (specify month): (month)
	Any time there is a participant access need
	The State agency is currently under a:
	Federal Moratorium (specify time-frame):
	State Moratorium (specify time-frame):
	Other (specify):
ΑD	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):
3.	Vendor Selection and Authorization
a.	The vendor selection criteria used to select vendors for program authorization include: Required criteria:
	EBT capable as defined in 7 CFR 246.12(aa)(4)(ii)
	── Vendor applicant price lists
	☐ WIC redemption data
	☐ A State agency standard drawn from a price survey
	A standard drawn from another source (specify):
	Other (specify):

FY 2018 Utah Page 3 of 30

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

	A minimum variety and quantity of supplemental foods criterion that is:	
	☐ Statewide	
	Peer group specific	
	A requirement to obtain infant formula only from sources included in the State agency's list of State licer infant formula wholesalers, distributors, and retailers and manufacturers registered with the U.S. Food a Drug Administration	
	A business integrity criterion that includes:	
	No history, during the past six years, among the vendor's owners, officers, or managers of criminal convictions or civil judgments for activities listed in 7 CFR 246.12(g)(3)(ii)	
	No history of other business-related criminal convictions or civil judgments	
	Other (specify):	
	Lack of a current SNAP disqualification or civil money penalty for hardship per 7 CFR 246.12(g)(3)(iii)	
Op	onal criteria:	
\boxtimes	A requirement to stock a full range of foods in addition to WIC supplemental foods	
\boxtimes	A location necessary to ensure adequate participant access	
	Redemption of a minimum number/volume of food instruments and CVVs/CVBs	
\boxtimes	Satisfactory compliance with previous vendor agreement	
	Certification by an approved State or local health department	
\boxtimes	Proof of authorization as a SNAP retailer, including SNAP authorization number	
\boxtimes	Hours of operation which meet State agency criteria (specify):	
	ack of previous WIC sanctions	
	Other criteria (specify):	
	Not applicable (explain):	
b.	Explain how the State agency develops and uses the competitive price criteria identified in item 3a to sevendors for authorization.	lect
	 Is the State agency exempt from competitive price criteria pharmacies that provide only exempt infar formula or WIC-eligible medical foods to participants? 	nt
	☐ Yes No	
	2) Did the State agency exempt non-profit WIC vendors (other than health or human services agencies provide food under contract with the State agency) from competitive price criteria?	that
	☐ Yes No	
C.	When does the State agency assess vendors for above-50-percent status?	
	★ At authorization	
	∅ 6 months after authorization	
	Other (specify):	

FY 2018 Utah Page 4 of 30

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

d.	How does the State agency assess vendors for above-50-percent status?
	○ Collect food sales documentation from another agency (specify):
	Other (specify): Comparison of WIC and SNAP redemption data
e.	Does the State agency authorize vendors that derive more than 50 percent of their annual food sales from WIC transactions (i.e., above-50-percent vendors)?
	☐ Yes ☐ No If "No," please proceed to item 3f. If "Yes," please respond to the following:
	(1) How many above-50-percent vendors are currently authorized? (include all above-50-percent vendors, not just WIC-only vendors)
	(2) Does the State agency allow above-50-percent vendors to provide incentive items?
	Yes No If "No," please proceed to item 3f. If "Yes," please respond to the following:
	Describe the approval process or attach a copy of the relevant application form. Description (or list the Appendix citation here):
	(3) Does the State agency provide above-50-percent vendors with a list of pre-approved incentive items?
	☐ Yes; please provide list ☐ No
	(4) Does the State agency provide above-50-percent vendors with a list of prohibited incentive items?
	☐ Yes; please provide list ☐ No
f.	Does the State agency ensure vendors (regular and above-50-percent) do not treat WIC participants differently by offering them incentive items that are not offered to non-WIC customers? (7 CFR 246.12(h)(3) (iii) and WIC Policy Memorandum 2014-3 Vendor Management: Incentive Items, Vendor Discounts and Coupons)
	Yes; please explain: No; please explain:
g.	On-site pre-authorization visits are conducted to verify information received during the application process:
	by SA by LA by Other
	For vendors at initial authorization For all vendors at authorization/reauthorization
h.	Does the State agency verify the status of vendor applicants SNAP retailer authorizations via STARS?
AD	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):

FY 2018 Utah Page 5 of 30

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

Vendor Peer Groups If the State agency does not have a vendor peer group system, please attach a copy of the most recent exemption request and approval letters and proceed to item 4e. a. Are vendors assigned to peer groups for selection/authorization? No b. Are vendors assigned to peer groups for reimbursement purposes? Peer groups are based on the following (check all that apply): Gross food sales volume Number of cash registers □ Square footage of store Type of store Zip codes Local agency service areas City, county, or regional divisions Unique economic location (e.g., rural island, single metro area) □ Urban/suburban/rural Other (specify): BEA information Other (specify): Using the chart on the next page, describe the peer groupings (e.g., supermarkets, medium and small grocery stores, convenience stores, etc.) that the State agency plans to use during the upcoming fiscal year. For State agencies with more than four peer groupings, please attach a chart containing this Peer Group **Description and list the Appendix citation here:** Has the State agency received approval for an exemption from the vendor peer group system requirement (7 CFR 246.12(g)(4)(v))? ☐ Yes; date FNS approved exemption: ☐ No (1) If yes, the State agency's exemption was based on the latest available data for the current fiscal year (which covers the period from _____ to _____), and the State agency: Does not have any above-50-percent vendors; data source: Paid above-50-percent vendors _____ percent of the total annual WIC redemptions to date; data source: (2) If the State agency does not use a vendor peer group system, describe the State agency's alternative system for comparing the prices of new vendor applicants and currently authorized vendors and selecting for authorization or reauthorization vendors that offer the program the most competitive prices.

FY 2018 Utah Page 6 of 30

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

DESCRIPTION OF VENDOR PEER GROUP SYSTEM

	Vendor Peer Groups				Comparable
Peer	Description	Number o	f Vendors in P	eer Group	Vendors Peer
Group No. (1)	Description (e.g., supermarkets, chain stores, pharmacies) (2)	Regular Vendors (3)	Above-50% Vendors (4)	Total (5)	Group Number (6)
1	National Big Box Supermarket/Supercenter Chains (Walmart, Target, Kroger)	111		111	
2	Regional Large Supermarket Chains (Associated Retail Operations, WinCo, Albertsons)	49		49	
3	Independent Local Chains (Lees, Harmons, Ridleys, Reams, etc.)	69		69	
4	4- Independent Stores- Mom and Pop- Metro Area (13 stores) 5- Independent Stores- Mom and Pop- Rural Area (48 stores)	61		61	

Instructions:

- Column 1 Assign a sequential number to each peer group.
- Column 2 Describe the vendors in the peer group; include all factors and definitions checked in question 4c. (e.g., urban = counties with >100,000 residents OR suburban = counties with >10,000 residents OR rural = counties with <10,000 residents)
- Column 3 Insert the number of authorized vendors that are regular vendors.
- Column 4 If the State agency authorizes above-50-percent vendors, insert the number of above-50-percent vendors currently authorized.
- Column 5 Insert the total number of authorized vendors. This number should be the sum of columns 3 and 4, since the State agency must identify each vendor as being either a regular vendor or an above-50-percent vendor.
- Column 6 For each peer group that contains above-50-percent vendors, insert the number of the peer group that contains comparable regular vendors. The comparable vendor peer group is the peer group that the State agency uses to derive the competitive price criteria and maximum reimbursement levels that it applies to the above-50-percent vendors. If above-50-percent vendors are placed in a peer group with regular vendors, then the number in column 1 should be the same as that in column 6. If above-50-percent vendors are in separate peer groups, then the number in column 1 will be different from that in column 6.

FY 2018 Utah Page 7 of 30

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

If so, specify timeframe:

At least every three years the State agency must assess the effectiveness of its peer group system and competitive price criteria to enhance system performance (7 CFR 246.12(g)(4)(ii)(C)). The State agency makes this assessment— Annually Every three years Biennially Other (please specify): What procedures does the State agency have in place to assess the effectiveness of its peer group system and competitive price criteria to enhance system performance? Provide date of most recent FNS approval: Semiannual Shelf Price Collection a. Has the State agency received approval for an exemption from the shelf price collection requirement under 7 CFR 246.12(g)(4)(ii)(B): No Yes; date FNS approved exemption: Vendor Agreements The following reflect the State agency's vendor agreement practices: All vendors have a written agreement with the State agency A standard vendor agreement is used statewide Vendor agreements are subject to the State's procurement procedures Vendor agreements/handbooks are subject to the State's Administrative Procedures Act A nonstandard vendor agreement is used for: Military commissaries Pharmacies that only provide exempt infant formula and/or WIC-eligible medical foods All pharmacies Home food delivery contractors Mobile stores Other (specify): \bigvee Vendors are authorized for a period of 3 year(s) Vendors are authorized/reauthorized under renewable agreements, provided no vendor violations occurred during the previous vendor agreement period All vendors are provided at least 15 days advance written notice of the expiration of the vendor agreement Chain stores sign a master agreement that includes multiple locations Chain stores sign an agreement for each store location Other (specify): b. In addition to the requirements in 7 CFR 246.12(h)(3)-(h)(6), the vendor agreement includes: Periodic submission of vendor price lists. If so, specify frequency: Bi-annually Maintenance of records in addition to the required inventory records. If so, specify types of records: Invoice from formula wholesaler Submission of food instruments and CVVs/CVBs within a shorter timeframe than required by program regulations.

FY 2018 Utah Page 8 of 30

A. Vendor Selection and Authorization

	Redemption of a minimum number/volume of food instruments and CVVs/CVBs
	Minimum hours of operation
	Other (specify all):
c.	The State agency delegates the signing of vendor agreements to its local agencies:
	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	If yes, provide a description of the supervision and instruction provided to local agencies to ensure the uniformity and quality of this activity.
	ase attach a copy of the Vendor Agreement or provide the appropriate Procedure Manual reference below. DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):

FY 2018 Utah Page 9 of 30

B. Vendor Training

1. a.		ndor Training - General nual vendor training covers the following content (check all that apply):
	\boxtimes	Purpose of the WIC Program
	\boxtimes	Supplemental foods authorized by the State agency
	\boxtimes	Minimum varieties and quantities of supplemental foods that must be stocked
		Obtaining infant formula only from sources included in the State agency's list of State licensed infant formula wholesalers, distributors, and retailers, and manufacturers registered with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration
	\boxtimes	Procedures for obtaining prior State agency approval to provide incentive items to WIC participants
	\boxtimes	Procedures for transacting and redeeming food instruments and cash - value vouchers
	\boxtimes	Vendor sanction system
	\boxtimes	Vendor complaint process
	\boxtimes	Claims procedures
	\boxtimes	Changes in program requirements since the last training
	\boxtimes	Recordkeeping requirements
	\boxtimes	Replacement food instruments and cash-value vouchers
	\boxtimes	Participant complaints
	\boxtimes	Vendor requests for technical assistance
		Reauthorization
	\boxtimes	Reporting changes of ownership, location, or cessation of operations
	\boxtimes	Procedures for appeal/administrative review
	\boxtimes	Training employees
	\boxtimes	WIC/SNAP sanction reciprocity and information sharing
		Other (specify):
	If a	ny topics listed above are not included in the annual vendor training, explain why.
b.		ndors or vendor representatives receive training on the following occasions and/or through the lowing materials (check all that apply):
	\boxtimes	On-site (in-store) meetings/conferences
	\boxtimes	Off-site meetings/conferences
	\boxtimes	During routine monitoring visits (e.g., educational buys)
	\boxtimes	When specialized technical assistance is requested
	\boxtimes	Written materials (e.g., newsletters)
		Audiotapes or videotapes
		Teleconference, video conference, or webinars
		Vendor hotline
	\boxtimes	State or local agency website
		Other (specify):

FY 2018 Utah Page 10 of 30

B. Vendor Training

C.	dors or vendor representatives receive <i>interactive</i> training as follows (check all applicable responses):
	At or before initial authorization
	At least once every three years
	Annually or more frequently than once every three years
d.	following method(s) are used to evaluate the effectiveness of vendor training (check all that apply):
	Evaluation forms provided with training materials
	Pre-tests and/or post-tests regarding vendor policies, procedures, and practices
	Statistical indicators, such as a reduction in food instrument/cash-value voucher/cash-value benefit errors
	Educational buys
	Record reviews
	Informal feedback from vendors and/or participants
	Vendor advisory councils
	None
2.	Other (specify): ONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation): egation of Vendor Training
2.	egation of Vendor Training State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify: Other (specify):
2.	egation of Vendor Training State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify:
2.	egation of Vendor Training State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify: Other (specify): None (the State agency onducts all vendor training) icate the frequency with which the State agency performed the following activities during the past fiscal
2.	egation of Vendor Training E State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify: Other (specify): None (the State agency conducts all vendor training) icate the frequency with which the State agency performed the following activities during the past fiscal r:
2.	egation of Vendor Training State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify: Other (specify): None (the State agency conducts all vendor training) icate the frequency with which the State agency performed the following activities during the past fiscal r: nes/ FY Activity
2.	egation of Vendor Training State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify: Other (specify): None (the State agency conducts all vendor training) icate the frequency with which the State agency performed the following activities during the past fiscal r: nes/ FY
	egation of Vendor Training State agency delegates its vendor training to: Its local agencies A contractor; specify: A vendor association/representative; specify: Other (specify): None (the State agency conducts all vendor training) icate the frequency with which the State agency performed the following activities during the past fiscal r: nes/ FY

FY 2018 Utah Page 11 of 30

B. Vendor Training

3.	Documents for and Documentation of Vendor Training
a.	The State agency or the entity to which it delegates vendor training documents the content of and vendor participation in annual vendor training:
b.	Vendors or vendor representatives are required to sign an acknowledgment of training when they have received the following types of training (check all that apply):
	☐ Educational buys ☐ Monitoring visits
	Remedial training
c.	The State agency produces a Vendor Handbook:
	∑ Yes □ No
	If yes, provide the link to the Vendor Handbook or the citation:
d.	The State agency provides online or web based training:
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, provide the link to the training:
AD	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):

FY 2018 Utah Page 12 of 30

C. High-Risk Identification Systems

1. Vendor Complaints

a.	The State agency has a formal system for receiving complaints about vendors:
	No; please explain: Most complaints that come from the participants are given to the local clinics and vendor coordinators. The locals take care of the complaint or they forward the complaints up to state staff to take care of. There is a formal internal process to handle complaints.
	Yes, complaints are received through the following:
	A toll-free number handled by State agency staff
	A standard complaint form which the complainant sends to:
	☐ State agency
	Local agency or clinic
	Online system; include link here:
	Other (specify):
b.	The State agency has a formal system for receiving complaints from vendors:
	No; please explain:
	∀es, complaints are received through the following:
	A toll-free number handled by State agency staff
	A standard complaint form which the complainant sends to:
	∑ State agency
	Local agency or clinic
	Online system; include link here:
	Other (specify):
c.	The State agency logs and responds to all complaints:
	Yes, please explain: Logged into MIS systems - VISION, then state agency will contact the vendor to notify of complaint.
	No; please explain:
AD	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):
2.	Identifying High-Risk Vendors
a.	What criteria does the State agency use to identify high-risk vendors: (* = mandatory)
	New vendor
b.	Identify the frequency for generating high-risk vendor reports:
	Quarterly No set schedule
	Semiannually Other (specify):
C.	Identify the type(s) of food instruments/cash-value vouchers/cash-value benefits used in the high-risk vendor analysis. (Check all that apply):

FY 2018 Utah Page 13 of 30

C. High-Risk Identification Systems

	Standard food instrument type with multiple food items (e.g., milk, cheese, and cereal)Standard food instrument type with a single food item
	Constructed food instrument (State agencies with nonstandard food instruments)
	Other (specify):
d.	To perform the high-risk vendor analysis, the State agency's system aggregates a vendor's redemptions over the following time period:
	☐ 1 month ☐ 2 months ☐ 3 months ☐ 4 months ☐ 5 months ☐ 6 months
	Other (specify):
e.	Vendor redemption patterns are generally compared to:
	☐ Applicable peer group patterns ☐ All vendors' patterns Statewide
	Other (specify):
an	ovide additional information about your MIS, detailing how the State agency conducts the high-risk vendor alysis and how the State agency ranks vendors when more than 5% of authorized vendors are high risk. clude the name of the file and/or where the and which system is used to produce it.

FY 2018 Utah Page 14 of 30

D. Routine Monitoring

1.	Routine Monitoring Visits
a.	Routine monitoring visits are conducted by:
	☐ State agency staff ☐ Other (specify):
b.	Identify the activities performed during a routine monitoring visit:
	Check the vendor's inventory of supplemental foods and/or inventory records to determine if the vendor meets the State agency's requirements for the minimum variety and quantity of supplemental foods
	Check the vendor's inventory of non-supplemental foods and/or inventory records to provide information on whether the vendor is an above-50-percent vendor
	Determine whether the vendor accepts forms of payment other than WIC food instruments, such as cash, personal checks, and credit cards, to provide information on whether the vendor is an above-50-percent vendor
	Check the vendor's invoices of infant formula to ensure that the infant formula is obtained only from the State agency's list of infant formula manufacturers registered with the Food and Drug Administration, and infant formula wholesalers, distributors, and retailers licensed under State law
	☐ If the vendor is an above-50-percent vendor, check its stock of incentive items to ensure that such items have been approved by the State agency
	Obtain the vendor's shelf prices and/or validate the vendor's price list
	Review food instruments in the vendor's possession for vendor violations
	Compare food instruments in the vendor's possession with shelf prices to test for vendor overcharges
	Review use of shelf tags and signage
	Review expiration dates on supplemental foods
	Compare prices of supplemental foods with similar items not approved as supplemental
	○ Observe food instrument transactions and CVV/CVB
	☐ IF EBT, verify if that vendor has appropriate terminals in required number of lanes per 7 CFR 246.12(z)(2).
	Conduct an educational buy
	Review employee training procedures
	Conduct annual vendor training or provide vendor with annual training materials
	Examine the sanitary conditions of the store
	Other (specify all):
c.	Generally, routine monitoring visits are conducted on each vendor (check all that apply):
	☐ Annually ☐ Twice a year ☒ As needed (specify) ☐ Other (specify)
d.	The following procedures are used in determining whether a vendor is selected for a routine monitoring visit (check all that apply):
	Random selection Complaints
	Periodic/scheduled training Other (specify):
	Periodic/scheduled review
e.	What percent of vendors received monitoring visits during the past fiscal year?
	Less than 5 percent; explain reason:
	5 percent
	More than 5 percent (specify):

FY 2018 Utah Page 15 of 30

D. Routine Monitoring

ADDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):

FY 2018 Utah Page 16 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

1.	Investigat	tive Practices

a.	The State agency conducts (c	:heck all that apply):				
	parent or caretaker of an in	on-site investigation in which a representative of the Program poses as a participant, fant or child participant, or proxy; transacts one or more food instruments or CVVs/during the visit that he or she is a Program representative.)				
	purchased sufficient quantit	nation of food invoices or other proofs of purchase to determine whether a vendor has ties of supplemental foods to provide participants the quantities specified on food ne vendor during a given period of time.)				
	Other (specify):					
b.	d to determine which vendors are selected for a compliance investigation (check					
		nigh-risk vendor identification criteria				
	Random selection					
	Geographical consideration	s				
	☐ Volume of WIC redemption	s				
	Participant complaints					
	Other (specify):					
C.	The State agency uses standa audits:	ard procedures for conducting and documenting compliance buys and inventory				
	Yes If yes, please provide reference:	e the guidelines in the Vendor Management Appendix or Cite the Procedure Manual				
	No; specify:					
d.	The results of compliance involvendor identification criteria:	The results of compliance investigations are used to assess the effectiveness of the State agency's high-risk vendor identification criteria:				
	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
	If yes, check the items below the effectiveness of high-risk	that describe how the results of compliance investigations are used to assess vendor identification criteria:				
	The State agency compar high-risk vendors.	es data on the prevalence of vendor violations detected among high-risk versus non-				
		s a high-risk vendor identification criterion if compliance investigations of high-risk criterion result in no vendor violations after months				
	Investigative procedures a the detection of no vendor	and training are reevaluated if compliance investigations of high-risk vendors result in violations.				
	Other (specify):					
e. How many vendors were authorized as of October 1 of the past fiscal year? 294						
	How many compliance invest	igations of vendors were completed during the past fiscal year?				
		18				
	☐ Inventory Audits: 0	_				
	How many vendors that recei	ved compliance investigations were high-risk during the past fiscal year?				
		18				
	☐ Inventory Audits:	_				

FY 2018 Utah Page 17 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

	Did the State agency give priority to high-risk vendors (up to the five percent minimum) in conducting compliance investigations during the past fiscal year?				
	Yes No; explain reason:				
	How many of all vendors were high-risk during the past fiscal year?				
	(The State agency is required by § 246.12(j)(4)(i) to conduct compliance investigations of at least 5 percent of its vendors authorized as of October 1 of each fiscal year, including all high risk vendors up to the 5 percent maximum.)				
AC	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):				
2.	Compliance Buys				
a.	The State agency conducts the following types of compliance buys:				
	Safe buys (transacting food instruments for all food items listed to see if the vendor will overcharge)				
	Short buys (transacting food instruments for fewer food items than those listed to see if the vendor will charge for food items not received)				
	Major substitution buys (exchanging food instruments for non-food items or unauthorized food items that are not similar to those listed)				
	Minor substitution buys (exchanging food instruments for unauthorized food items that are similar to those listed)				
	Other (specify):				
b.	Does the State agency tailor compliance buys to vendors' risk type?				
	Yes; explain: If there are complaints we will ask our contractor to conduct a criteria based on the complaint. The state will give the criteria.				
	No; explain:				
c.	Compliance buys are usually conducted by:				
	☐ WIC State agency staff				
	☐ WIC local agency staff				
	☐ State investigators				
	Interns, neighborhood residents, or program participants employed by WIC				
	Another WIC State agency				
	Other (specify):				
d.	Who is responsible for ensuring the proper execution of and follow-up on compliance buys?				
	☐ WIC local agency manager				
	☐ State investigators				
	☐ Contractor				
	Another WIC State agency				
	Other (specify):				

FY 2018 Utah Page 18 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

e.	If no vendor violations are detected, how many compliance buys does the State agency conduct before closing a compliance investigation?
	∑ Two
f.	If the State agency conducts a standard number of compliance buys per compliance investigation, what is the basis for the prescribed number of buys?
	State law or regulation
	State agency policy or procedure
	Level of evidence necessary to impose vendor sanctions
	Legal counsel's advice
	Other (specify):
g.	Is the vendor provided written notification of a violation requiring a pattern of violations in order to sanction the vendor, prior to documenting another violation of the same kind, unless the State agency determines that such notice would compromise the investigation and documents this in the vendor's file?
	⊠ Yes □ No
	If no, is the determination that the written notification would compromise the investigation documented in the vendor's file?
	Yes; if a standard form is used, please attach and cite below.
	☐ No; please explain:
AC	Counsel/Administrative Officer? 0DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):
3.	Estimate the cost for conducting compliance buys, excluding expenses related to the vendor appeals/ administrative review process:
	\$ 500.00 Cost per compliance buy
	Unknown
	☐ Not applicable
ΔΓ	DDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual:
_	timated
4.	Inventory Audits (If inventory audits are not performed, go to Question 5)
a.	The following factors are used to determine which vendors selected for compliance investigations will
	receive inventory audits rather than/or in addition to compliance buys:
	☐ Vendor has highest risk based on State agency's high-risk identification criteria
	Suspicion of vendor exchanging cash for food instruments (trafficking)
	☐ Inconclusive compliance buy results
	☐ Complaints
	Other (specify):
b.	The State agency conducts the following types of inventory audits:

FY 2018 Utah Page 19 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

	☐ State agency inventory audits (vendor sends records to State agency)
	Local agency inventory audits (vendor sends records to local agency)
	Other (specify):
c.	Inventory audits are conducted by (check all that apply):
	☐ WIC local agency staff
	☐ State investigators
	☐ Investigators retained on a contract basis (e.g., Pinkerton's, Wells Fargo)
	Other (specify):
d.	Identify the amount of, or period of time covered by, the receipts that are examined during an inventory audit:
ΑD	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):
	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation): Compliance Buy/Inventory Audit Tracking System(s)
5.	
5.	Compliance Buy/Inventory Audit Tracking System(s) The State agency has a means of recording and tracking staff person hours devoted to investigation
5.	Compliance Buy/Inventory Audit Tracking System(s) The State agency has a means of recording and tracking staff person hours devoted to investigation activities:
5. a.	Compliance Buy/Inventory Audit Tracking System(s) The State agency has a means of recording and tracking staff person hours devoted to investigation activities: Yes; please describe:
5. a.	Compliance Buy/Inventory Audit Tracking System(s) The State agency has a means of recording and tracking staff person hours devoted to investigation activities: Yes; please describe: No The State agency has an automated system for tracking investigations that monitors the progress and status of each compliance investigation:
	Compliance Buy/Inventory Audit Tracking System(s) The State agency has a means of recording and tracking staff person hours devoted to investigation activities: Yes; please describe: No The State agency has an automated system for tracking investigations that monitors the progress and status

FY 2018 Utah Page 20 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

1. Types of Administrative Reviews

The State agency conducts the following types of administrative reviews of vendor appeals for the adverse actions listed below. (Check all that apply):

Informal Desk Reviews	Abbreviated Admin. Reviews	Full Admin. Reviews				
			Denial due to competitive price selection criterion			
	\boxtimes		Denial due to minimum stocking selection criterion			
			Denial due to business integrity or current SNAP DQ or CMP			
	\boxtimes		Denial based on limiting criteria			
			Denial due to State agency selection criteria			
			Denial due to application outside timeframe			
			Application of above-50-percent criteria			
			DQ for WIC violations			
			DQ for SNAP CMP			
			Other WIC sanctions, e.g., fine or CMP			
		\boxtimes	Denial based on circumvention of sanction			
			Application of peer group criteria			
☐ ☐ Termination due to ownership change						
☐ ☐ Termination due to location change						
☐ ☐ Termination due to ceasing operations						
			Termination for other causes			
			DQ for trafficking/illegal sales conviction			
			DQ/CMP due to another State agency's mandatory sanction			
			CMP based on SNAP DQ			
			Denial based on no SNAP authorization			
ADDITIONAL DE	ETAIL: Vendor M	anagement i	Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):			
2. Administrative Review Procedures						
a. The State agency has a law or regulation governing WIC administrative reviews:						
□ No						
If the State agency does have such a law or regulation, this includes:						
	☐ State agency Administrative Procedures Act ☐ State agency health department regulation					
	State agency law pertaining to WIC only State agency WIC regulation					
State age	State agency health department law Other (specify):					

FY 2018 Utah Page 21 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

b.	At which level do administrative reviews of WIC vendor appeals take place:						
	☐ WIC State agency ☐ Other (specify):						
c.	Administrative reviews are conducted by:						
		cers					
	Administrati	ive law judge	S				
	Other (spec	cify):					
d.	The following	procedures a	are followed for administrative reviews:				
	Abbreviated Admin. Reviews	Full Admin. Reviews					
			Opportunity for vendor to examine evidence prior to review				
		\boxtimes	Opportunity for vendor to reschedule review date				
		\boxtimes	Opportunity for vendor to present its case				
		\boxtimes	Opportunity for vendor to be represented by counsel				
☐ Opportunity for vendor to present witnesses							
Opportunity for vendor to cross-examine witnesses							
opportunity for investigators to testify behind a screen or via other non-identifying method							
	Presence of a court reporter or stenographer						
	\boxtimes		An impartial decision-maker, whose decision is based solely on whether the State agency correctly applied Federal and State statutes, regulations, policies, procedures				
		\boxtimes	A written decision within 90 days from request for review				
			Other (specify):				
e.	Check the part	y(ies) below	who may present the State agency case during a full administrative review:				
	☐ WIC staff person assigned to case						
	□ Legal counsel (State Attorney General or General Counsel's office)						
	Legal counsel (paid by WIC Program funds)						
	Other (specify all):						
	Please attach and/or reference in the Additional Detail area below the location of the State agency's administrative review procedures.						
ΑD	DITIONAL DET	AIL: Vendor	Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):				

FY 2018 Utah Page 22 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

Yes (specify):

No

1.	WIC/SNAP Information Sharing				
a.	An information sharing agreement between the WIC State agency and SNAP is in effect, per FNS Instruction 906-1 or other FNS guidance, and is maintained at the State agency:				
	If yes, an updated list of authorized vendors is sent to the appropriate FNS office:				
	Regularly, at intervals of less than one year (specify):				
	Periodically, as changes occur				
	☐ Upon request				
	Other (specify):				
b.	State agency compliance investigators coordinate their activities with their SNAP counterparts:				
	☐ Yes ⊠ No				

c. State statute, regulations, or procedures restrict the disclosure WIC vendor and SNAP retailer information to

ADDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):

those permitted under 7 CFR 246.26(e) and (f):

FY 2018 Utah Page 23 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

1.	Check below the routine formal training available to State and local level staff in vendor management
	practices:

	State	Local	Other (contractor)				
	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		Vendor selection and authorization			
	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		Vendor training			
	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		Routine monitoring			
	\boxtimes			Compliance investigations			
	\boxtimes			Inventory audits			
	\boxtimes			Corrective actions and sanctions			
				Criminal investigations			
	\boxtimes			Vendor appeals/administrative reviews			
	\boxtimes			Federal and/or State WIC regulations			
	\boxtimes			Prevention of vendor fraud and abuse			
				WIC/SNAP information sharing and handling of confidential WIC vendor data			
				High-risk vendor identification			
		\boxtimes		Vendor management information system			
	☐ No	t applicable)				
	Ot	her (specify	r):				
2.	State ager stakehold Monthl	er group:	eets with vendor repre	esentatives as part of a vendor advisory council or other vendor			
	Quarte	erly					
	Other frequency: As needed (typically annually)						
	No vendor advisory council						
	ADDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation): More frequently we meet with the Utah Food Industry Association president.						
3.	Reporting	vendor inf	ormation to TIP:				
a.	How does	the State a	agency submit vendor	information to The Integrity Profile?			
	Manua	ılly (one ver	ndor at a time)				
	∪pload	text file					
	Upload	XML Sche	ema				
b.	Describe h	now the Sta	ate agency ensures th	at this information is accurate:			
ΑC	DITIONAL	DETAIL: Ve	endor Management Ap	pendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation):			

FY 2018 Utah Page 24 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

\boxtimes	STATE AGENCY DOES NOT AUTHORIZE FARMERS/FARMERS' MARKETS TO ACCEPT CVVs/CVBs; SECTIONS J-N DO NOT APPLY
1.	Does the State agency delegate any tasks related to the management of the Farmers or Farmers' Markets to another entity?
	□ No
	Yes (specify what tasks and to whom):
2.	The State agency authorizes farmers/farmers' markets to accept CVVs based on:
	Authorization by the WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP)
	Selection criteria established separately from FMNP
3.	If the State agency does not authorize farmers/farmers' markets based on FMNP authorization, the selection criteria include (describe):
4.	The State agency considers applications:
	On an on-going basis Every three years
	Annually Every two years
	Other (specify):
	VENDOR MANAGEMENT
	Farmer/Farmers' Market Agreements Agreement periods are for:
	One year Three years
	Two years Other (specify):
2.	Agreements are:
	A modified version of the vendor agreement
	Combined with the FMNP agreement
	Unique to the authorization of farmers to transact CVVs/CVBs
3.	The following reflect the State agency's farmer/farmers' market agreement practices:
	All farmers/farmers' markets have a written agreement with the State agency
	A standard farmer/farmers' market agreement is used statewide
	Agreements are subject to the State's procurement procedures
	Agreements/handbooks are subject to the State's Administrative Procedures Act
	Farmers/farmers' markets are authorized/reauthorized under renewable agreements, provided no farmer/farmers' market violations occurred during the previous agreement period
	All farmers/farmers' markets are provided at least 15 days advance written notice of the expiration of the agreement
	All farmers/farmers' markets are provided a schedule of sanctions, either in or attached to the farmer/farmers' market agreement, or as a citation to State regulations

FY 2018 Utah Page 25 of 30

	_			_	_
	~		e Inves	-4:	4:
_	u.om	ınııanc	einves	รบเกล	TIONS

FY 2018 Utah Page 26 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

4.	Ag	reement provisions include:	
		Assure that the CVV/CVB is redeemed only for eligible fruits and vegetables as defined by the State agency	
		Provide eligible fruits and vegetables at the current price or less than the current price charged to other customers	
		Accept the CVVs/CVBs within the dates of their validity and submit CVVs for payment within the allowable time period established by the State agency	
		Redeem the CVV/CVB in accordance with a procedure established by the State agency	
		Accept training on CVV/CVB procedures and provide training to any employees with CVV/CVB responsibilities on such procedures	
		Agree to be monitored for compliance with program requirements, including both overt and covert monitoring	
		Be accountable for actions of employees in the provision of authorized foods and related activities	
		Pay the State agency for any CVV/CVB transacted in violation of this agreement	
		Offer WIC participants, parent or caretakers of child participants or proxies the same courtesies as other customers	
		Neither the State agency nor the farmer has an obligation to renew the agreement.	
		Other (specify):	
5.	The	e farmer/farmers markets agreement reflects that the farmer/farmers' market must not:	
		Collect sales tax on CVV/CVB purchases	
		Seek restitution from WIC participants, parent or caretakers of child participants or proxies for CVVs/CVBs not paid or partially paid by the State agency	
		Issue cash change for purchases that are in an amount less than the value of the CVV/CVB	
		Other (specify):	
		ease attach a copy of the Farmer/Farmers' Market Agreement or provide the appropriate Procedure Manual erence below.	
ADDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation): and/or FMNP State Plan (Citation):			

FY 2018 Utah Page 27 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

1.	Farmer/farmers' market training includes:
	Eligible fruits and vegetables
	☐ Procedures for transacting and redeeming CVVs/CVBs
	Agreement provisions
	☐ Sanctions and Appeals
	Other (specify):
2.	Interactive farmer/farmers' market training (e.g., face-to-face, video conference, web cam) is conducted:
	At or before initial authorization
	At least every three years following initial authorization
	Other (specify):
3.	Non-interactive farmer/farmers' market training (e.g., via hard copy mail, email, online) is conducted:
	Annually following authorization
	☐ Changes in procedures
	Other (specify):
4.	The State agency delegates training to:
	Local agency (specify):
	Contractor (specify):
	Farmer representative (specify):
	Other (specify):
5.	If the State agency delegates training, briefly describe the State agency's supervision of such training:
6.	The State agency produces a Farmer/farmers markets Training Handbook:
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, provide the citation:
7.	The State agency provides online or web based training:
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, provide the link to the training or citation:
	DITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation) and/or FMNP State Plantation):

FY 2018 Utah Page 28 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

1.	Farmers/farmers' markets are included in the:			
	☐ FMNP sample of farmers/farmers markets for monitoring ☐ WIC sample of vendors for monitoring			
2.	Monitoring includes:			
	covert methods, such as compliance buys overt methods, such as routine monitoring			
ADDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation): and/or FMNP State Plan (Citation):				
	/ENDOR MANAGEMENT Farmer/Farmers' Market Sanctions, Claims, and Appeals			
1.	Farmer/farmers' market violations may result in:			
	Disqualification			
	☐ Denial of payment or demand for refund due to improperly redeemed CVVs/CVBs (Claims)			
	Prosecution under Federal, State, or local law regarding fraud or other illegal activity			
	Monetary sanctions such as civil money penalties and fines			
2.	Farmers/farmers' markets may administratively appeal:			
	☐ Disqualification			
	☐ Denial of application			
	Other sanction (specify):			
3.	Farmers/farmers' markets may not administratively appeal:			
	Expiration of an agreement			
	☐ Claims			
	Other (specify):			
Ple	ase attach and/or reference the location of the State agency's administrative review procedures.			
ADDITIONAL DETAIL: Vendor Management Appendix and/or Procedure Manual (Citation) and/or FMNP State Plan (Citation):				

FY 2018 Utah Page 29 of 30

E. Compliance Investigations

1.	Please provide the State agency definition for participant access. Include full criteria, including geography, density, and any other parameters in your response.		
	No other authorized vendor within 20 miles.		
2.	Does the State agency assess all vendor applications not meeting selection criteria for participant access?		
	⊠ Yes □ No		
a.	If yes, describe below paste or attach and provide a citation of the procedures used for assessing vendor applications for participant access. Provide sufficient details so steps can be followed and criteria applied to a specific vendor		
	If a vendor does not meet all selection criteria, it is determined whether or not there are any authorized vendors within 20 miles.		

FY 2018 Utah Page 30 of 30